

NAME	Abrv.	DESIGNATION	Present	NAME	Abrv.	DESIGNATION	Present
Rob Barker	RB	Parent Elected	✓	Mike Ormond	MO	Parent Elected (Chair)	✓
Liz Cannock	LC	Staff	✓	Paul Smith	PS	Executive Headteacher	✓
Helen Doggart	HD	Foundation	✓	Anne Tearle	AT	Co-opted	✓
Adele Farrow	AF	Parent Elected	✓				
Russell McAulay	RM	Parent Elected	Х				
Olwen Murray	OM	Foundation	А	Clare Trayler	СТ	Clerk to Governors	\checkmark

✓ = Attended, **A** = Absent with Apologies, **X** = absent no apology O/L = joined meeting on-line

Meeting Opened: 18:12 hrs

	Discussion	Actions
1	 Welcome Attendees were welcomed to the meeting Opening Prayer HD said a welcome prayer Apologies Apologies received and accepted from OM. RM was absent. AT will be arriving late. Quorum The meeting was quorate. Bob Benjamin has now resigned from the Governing Body leaving another vacancy. 	
2	 Declaration of Pecuniary Interests No Interests declared for this meeting 	
3	 Minutes of Previous Meeting Minutes from meeting held on 16th November circulated in advance of meeting All governors agreed to accept the minutes 	
4	 Matters Arising Governors to do/repeat Prevent training. Download certificate at the end and send copy to CT. Link to training module included in last week's Thursday email Policies review schedule has been created and just needs to be completed with dates All other actions complete 	All governors to do/repeat Prevent online training module
5	 Chairs Report Report circulated in advance MO looked at Inspiring Governance website for possible new governors, there are a few people around the area who we may be able to entice. There is some potential. MO to make contact with people Q: Could we tap into some of the big companies down at the industrial park to see if they have staff members who wish to become governors i.e. Astra Zeneca? A: Astra Zeneca have moved away. The LA have tried this and did a big push a while ago. 	MO to arrange

Signed by Chair:



	 We could advertise in In View PS received email from Peter Tyazck, Chair of Parish council which suggested that a member of the Parish council could possibly join the governing board, that may be another avenue 	advert for governors in In View magazine
6	Feedback from Sub-Committees Minutes from T&L committee circulated in advance Foundation Committee • Committee have not met since last FGB meeting	
	 Partnership Steering Group Met on 25th November The strategic development plan was reviewed, this is evaluated at each meeting to see progress being made. The effects of staff absence impacting on developments and progress we can make were discussed. DH's are coming to next meeting to talk about PP/catch up impact. Katie (other DH at ALM) is coming to following mtg to talk about curriculum development Both schools SDP's and RAP from STP were also discussed Group meeting again next week <i>Q: As general feeling, are ALM pleased with how the partnership is going?</i> <i>A: Yes they are. Katie and Tim who stepped up into DH roles are working really well and developing</i> Teaching & Learning Committee Met on 7th December Discussed data, governor monitoring visit in November, presentation in English and moving to new synthetic phonics program which is now in situ and training has been delivered, actions plans, attendance, SEND review 	
	 Resources Committee Committee have not met since last FGB meeting Next due to meet on 8th February 	
7	 Headteacher's Report (including Insight Data reports) Reports circulated in advance Phonics results were positive, we've completed initial assessments for Y1's and will be continuing with them. They are not as strong as current Y2's there are 4 weaker children in that cohort (out of 18) therefore the data is likely to dip. The children have responded really well to new phonics scheme so hopefully results will improve Q: On those 4, will they meet the standard by end of Y2? A: 2 of them may well meet it by end of Y2 but 2 others are doubtful although we have got a lot of time and the new scheme will help. We are quietly confident that this scheme with consistent application that progress will be good Comparison data has been provided as requested. End of Autumn 2 data – there is no Y5 due to teacher absence so we will have to think about how we get this data collated. Perhaps NBM/PS will do 	
	hy Chair: ECP Minutes 19th Ion	1



There are lots of children below expected standard especially class 1 &	
6, this is end of first term, those children who are at the expected	
standard will hopefully get greater in number as we go through the	
year. It is too early to make direct comparisons.	
Y6 are against a different framework as they have a specific framework	
they need to reach by end of year. Y6 are progressing through the	
curriculum but are consolidating key skills in all subjects. Book look	
shows progress is strong. Assessments we did for mock SATs at end	
of term 2 were very positive. There is a group of about 8 who need to be targeted who all have interventions this term. It is better than we	
thought it was going to be for Maths, although it's a different story for	
writing	
 Writing moderation across Hub took place last week. Strengths and 	
areas for development were identified. All schools having same issues	
with areas of weakness, mainly grammar and stamina for longer pieces	
of writing	
Q: Y6 90% below expected standard looks really bad?	
A: Yes it may not look as strong as we would like but it is an accurate	
picture. It is similar across all the schools. We know what they need to do.	
By April there will be a huge shift. The progress since Sept is also huge.	
Q: Why is there only data from 1 class for book marking?	
A: That's the only class who has put the data onto Insight. We will ask	
other staff to add this	
• Y1 missed a lot of Reception year they are playing a lot of catch up in	
writing. Y1 is the year group that is the weakest across the cluster and	
 Y5 as the last full year they had in school was Y2 Remember that we have only covered a third of the year and third of 	
 Remember that we have only covered a third of the year and third of curriculum 	
AT arrived at 18.46	
 The comparison data shows some movement and a better picture than 	
previous reports	
Q: It is at the expense of higher ability?	
A: It could be looked at like that but don't think this is the case	
Q: Were we overly optimistic in assessing in previous years?	
A: Can't say for sure but wider assessment, marking and assessment is an	
action area for this term – it needs looked at	
Q: It seems odd to be over generous in some areas and not others? It	
looks like there may have been concentrating on the lower end of ability	
rather than higher? A: We are still doing extension tasks for children and wouldn't be looking to	
give greater depth until further in the year when more curriculum is	
covered and concepts applied further.	
 When we come to the end of the year we can compare properly 	
 We've always been week at challenging the top performers and well as 	
supporting bottom end	
Q: What do you take from the data, is there is anything to take and turn	
into an action or make changes?	
A: Not at the moment, we are carrying out pupil progress meetings this	
week, reviewing interventions for lower ability and challenges for higher,	
we have been looking at this closely. The Autumn term data shows us a	

Signed by Chair:



 starting point and a path to follow, we shall see greater movement in the Spring term The results of interventions should start to show soon PS suggested that governors may have been able to see a better comparison if we'd looked at the data from Autumn 20/21 to Autumn term this year. 	PS to create data comparison from Autumn term last year to this year
 Governors stated that the data is much better than they have previously seen 	
 There is an LA review tomorrow so we will see what they think We have come a long way since September and this can be seen in children's books. We have also seen noticeable shifts in other areas i.e. after the phonics training, TA's went away and planned interventions and got all resources ready to implement which is not the type of thing that was happening previously 	
Further questions re comparison data raised via email and not	
discussed in the meeting:-	
Q: Is this comparison data for the same cohorts i.e. Y6 data is old vs current for the same children or is it the children who have left for Y7 vs current children?	
A: The reports are in the same format as last time and the comparisons are where the children were at the end of the last year i.e. end of Year 5 now are Year 6 as you asked for comparisons so see where they were and	
are now.	
Q: Reading: We appear to have lost at the top and the bottom. Is this a more honest/accurate assessment and catch-up; or a reduction in stretch for top performers while concentrating on the weakest?	
Writing: Same issues I think - Yr 6 obviously concerning, can they catch-up by SATS time?	
Maths: Same issues as reading and writing we appear to have lost the top performers.	
Combined attainment in 6 assessments - what does this mean/tell us? The numbers don't look great, but I don't understand the context.	
A: You would expect the children and the year groups to be at different	
stages at this point in the year as only up to 30-40% of the curriculum has	
been taught. Our assessments are similar to those at Almondsbury.	
I have faith that the Year 6's will make up the assessments. There's are slightly different as they have a different assessment criteria for the end of	
the year to everyone else. This is due to the external assessment	
frameworks we have to assess the pupils against.	
Q: Do we have a wider comparison across the LA? A: There are no comparisons from other schools as all have different	
systems. We won't have comparisons at the end of the year for the year	
groups apart from Year 2 and 6 after the SAT's.	
 In staff meetings we have been looking at planning, challenge & support 	
 SEND report – woven some areas into quality of teaching staff meetings, looked at teachers roles and responsibilities re SEND 	
 Attendance – its' not where we'd like it to be - national is 90.4%, LA 95.8% surprisingly at the end of Term 2. 	
 If we took covid absences out our figure would be more like 95.5% 	

Signed by Chair:



	 One exclusion in Autumn term. Updated behaviour plan put in place which is working well There have been a couple of internal exclusions due to home situation of child so external exclusion was not appropriate. CPOMS data – was not circulated in advance. PS displayed on screen and talked through. This is from Autumn term Behaviours and attitudes – 'Give me 5' been introduced through oracy programme. Conversation contract has been introduced which is being really successful and paying dividends Q: Have we ever had any FOI requests around what info is on held on CPOMS about a child/family? A: No Q: Behaviour in Y5, are there interventions in place? A: Nic B-M has been monitoring behaviour of girls very closely. 2 TA's also working closely with class and monitoring Q: Do we use ELSA? A: Yes, Wendy Lewis is our ELSA person although she has not done as much as would have liked due to covering in Y5 Q: What is the impact on Y5 of class teacher's absence educationally? A: It is disruptive. Teacher has done the planning for next 2 weeks, then Nic B-M and Sarah M will cover/monitor this Q: Can we do anything else like moving teachers from other classes? A: That's what happened last year and there was a huge backlash from parents (when DH was moved out of class and covered others). It is something we have considered Q: Is Wendy getting support in the class from TA's while she is covering teacher? A: Yes, another TA in class and support from Nic/Sarah 	
8	Review of SDP and Rapid School Improvement Plans Plans circulated in advance Q: Phonics teaching still quite variable, are you hoping the new scheme will reduce the variability? A: Yes, it will. Also Laura Cox has started to do the phonics in R & Y1 Q: Why has Catch up not started yet? A: We can't find anyone to do it. Recent survey shows 66% of schools in our area have vacancies, vast majority TA's & LBS and other schools are also struggling to get tutors and teachers to cover catch up unless they have part-time teachers able to work extra hours Q: Is the amber text of particular significance? A: No, it's not a rag rate, each update is done in a different colour	
9	Policies and Other Documents Policies circulated in advance of meeting • Educational Visits – agreed & ratified Q: Who is Educational Visits approval group? A: HT & SBM • Flexible Working - agreed & ratified • Freedom of Information Requests - agreed & ratified • Lone Working - agreed & ratified • More Working - agreed & ratified	

Signed by Chair:



•	measures in place to Managing Sickness A discussion took pl	o ensure safety of Careta Absence - agreed & rat	ified on of Fit Notes from GPs.	
10 T •	erms of Office There are several go end. Current situation		erms of office coming to an	
	Type of governor	Current constitution	Number of vacancies	
	Co-opted	5	4	
	ExOfficio Foundation	2	1	
	Foundation	2	1 (soon to be 2)	
	Headteacher	1	0	
	LA Appointment	1	1	
	Parent	4	0 (soon to be 2)	
	Staff	1	0 (SOULIO DE 2)	
	TOTAL	16	•	
	IUIAL	10	7 (soon to be 10)	
tł A y	 Co-opted Foundati Suggest MO move to vacancies Diocese /Circuit to a LA gov from Parish A lot of schools have their board, what about A: He is retiring and the rears, Why? 	e a member of Clergy fro Rev Rowe? re is unlikely to be anyor	on govs m their local church on ne in post for around 2	
A c. • C A	A: The process takes a churches are covered b The governing board design of the curren Q: Where does Compto A: Not sure, we could a	n Greenfield sit as they i sk	/ han 16 and part of the ition, 4 parent governors	CT to speak with Governor Services/Diocese/ MAST to get advise regarding reconstitution process and draft proposal



11	 Reflective Question 'Where have we made a difference today?' Better understanding of assessment system Set underway re-constituting Governing board Know what progress has been made in school since last meeting 	
12	Any Other BusinessNone raised	
	 Date of Next Meeting Wednesday 9th March 2022 at 6pm 	

Meeting Closed: 19.38 hrs

Action Checklist

WHO	ACTION	WHEN
All	Governors to do/repeat Prevent online training module and sent certificate to CT	28 February
МО	Arrange advert for governors in In View magazine (if required after reconstitution)	28 February
PS	Create data comparison from Autumn term last year to this year	17 February
СТ	Speak with Governor Services/Diocese/MAST to get advice regarding reconstitution process and draft proposal	25 January
МО	Speak to RM re intentions/stand for another term	31 January

List of Associated Documents

Minutes of FGB meeting held on 16th November Chairs Report Minutes of Teaching & Learning committee meeting held on 7th December 2021 Headteacher's Report Insight - Attainment Overview Autumn 21 minus Year 5 Insight - Attainment Overview EYFS Autumn 21 Insight Attainment comparison end of summer 2020 21 to Autumn term 2 21 22 St Peter's SDP Plan 2021 22 review Dec 21 Rapid School Improvement Action Plan 2021-22 review Dec 21 (RAP) CPOMS report Autumn Term 2021 22 Educational Visits Policy Flexible Working Policy Freedom of Information Requests Policy Lone Working Policy Managing Sickness Absence Policy